



Available online at:
<https://ojs-ildikti5.id/index.php/jkt/>

Journal of Kuntowijoyo's Thought

ISSN (Online): XXXX-XXXX



Beyond Creativity: Reconstructing Art Education through *Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah* toward Human Flourishing

Dwi Susanto¹✉, Ridwan Santoso², Muhammad Ihsaan Fathoni³, Hasbi Nur Prasetyo Wisudawan⁴

¹Department of Art Education, Universitas Sarjanawiyata Tamansiswa, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

²Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Jambi, Jambi, Indonesia

³Faculty of Education, Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

⁴Faculty of Industrial Technology, Universitas Islam Indonesia, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

✉ Corresponding Author: dwi.susanto@ustjogj.ac.id

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Submitted : 05 Juni 2026

Accepted : 25 Juni 2026

Published : 14 Juli 2026

KEYWORDS

Art education;
 Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah;
 Humanistic education;
 Human flourishing;
 Ethical creativity;
 Artificial intelligence



Copyright © 2026, Authors

 10.30738/jkt.v*i*.xxxxxxx

ABSTRACT

The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence (AI), digital visual culture, social media, and algorithm-driven creative practices has fundamentally transformed the landscape of contemporary art education. While these developments have expanded opportunities for artistic expression and innovation, they have also intensified challenges related to ethical relativism, commercialization, visual consumerism, digital narcissism, and the diminishing emphasis on the humanistic purposes of art education. Existing studies predominantly focus on creativity, artistic skills, aesthetics, and technological integration, whereas the ethical orientation and societal well-being dimensions of art education remain insufficiently explored. This conceptual paper aims to reconstruct the philosophical foundation and educational goals of contemporary art education through the perspective of *Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah* as a humanistic paradigm for learning in the era of digital visual culture. Employing a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) guided by the PRISMA framework, this study synthesizes scholarly works indexed in Scopus, Web of Science, ERIC, Dimensions, and Google Scholar. The selected literature is analyzed using thematic analysis to identify conceptual relationships between contemporary art education, humanistic educational philosophy, and the objectives of *Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah*. The analysis demonstrates that the traditional creativity-centered paradigm is no longer sufficient to address the ethical and social complexities of contemporary visual culture. Consequently, this paper proposes the Humanistic Maqasid Art Education (HMAE) Framework, which reorients art education from product-oriented creativity toward ethical creativity, empathy, social responsibility, *rahmah* (compassion), *maslahah* (public benefit), and human flourishing. The originality of this study lies in integrating the philosophical principles of *Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah* with contemporary theories of art education to establish a holistic conceptual framework that bridges creativity, ethics, and human development. The proposed framework offers theoretical contributions to educational philosophy and practical implications for curriculum development, pedagogy, assessment, teacher education, and the responsible integration of artificial intelligence within future art education.

1. INTRODUCTION

The twenty-first century has witnessed an unprecedented convergence of artificial intelligence (AI), generative technologies, and digital visual culture, fundamentally reshaping not only artistic production but also the philosophical, epistemological, and pedagogical foundations of contemporary education. The rapid development of generative AI systems including ChatGPT, Midjourney, DALL·E, Adobe Firefly, and Stable Diffusion has transformed the processes through which artistic ideas are imagined, developed, and communicated. Rather than functioning merely as sophisticated digital tools, these technologies increasingly participate in creative decision-making, enabling collaborative interactions between human cognition and machine intelligence that challenge conventional understandings of authorship, originality, creativity, and artistic agency [1]. Consequently, artistic practice is no longer confined to traditional studio environments but increasingly unfolds within digitally networked ecosystems where creativity is mediated by algorithms, data infrastructures, and intelligent technologies.

This transformation extends far beyond technological innovation. Digital technologies have fundamentally altered the epistemology of artistic knowledge by changing how visual information is created, interpreted, disseminated, and validated. Artistic knowledge, once developed primarily through observation, embodied practice, and aesthetic reflection, is now increasingly produced through algorithmic systems, digital platforms, and interactive media environments. As a result, contemporary art education is experiencing a paradigm shift from studio-based learning toward digitally connected learning ecosystems characterized by interdisciplinary collaboration, multimodal communication, and human AI co-creativity. Such developments require educators to reconsider not only how students learn art but also why art education remains essential in societies increasingly shaped by intelligent technologies.

The emergence of digital visual culture has further accelerated these educational transformations. Images have become the dominant language of contemporary communication, influencing how individuals construct identities, negotiate cultural meanings, and participate in public discourse. Social media platforms including Instagram, TikTok, Pinterest, Behance, and YouTube have evolved into influential environments where artistic production, cultural participation, and creative expression are continuously shaped by algorithmic recommendation systems and audience engagement metrics. Visual communication now extends beyond aesthetic appreciation to become a primary medium through which individuals establish social relationships, express political perspectives, construct professional identities, and negotiate cultural values. Consequently, artistic recognition is increasingly associated with visibility, popularity, and digital interaction rather than with reflective inquiry, cultural significance, or ethical contribution [2], [3], [4].

Recent scholarship suggests that these developments have substantially redefined the meaning of creativity itself. Traditionally, creativity has been understood as an intrinsically human capacity involving imagination, intuition, aesthetic sensitivity, lived experience, and reflective judgment. However, the emergence of generative AI has introduced new conceptions of creativity as a collaborative process between human intelligence and computational systems. AI-assisted creative environments enable artists and students to rapidly generate visual alternatives, experiment with multiple artistic styles, and engage in design processes that were previously inaccessible because of technical, temporal, or economic limitations [5], [6]. Rather than replacing human creativity, these technologies increasingly function as cognitive partners capable of expanding imaginative possibilities and supporting exploratory learning.

Within educational contexts, the integration of AI has generated significant optimism regarding the future of teaching and learning. AI-supported learning environments have demonstrated considerable potential for enhancing personalized learning, facilitating interdisciplinary collaboration, stimulating divergent thinking, and supporting adaptive learning experiences. In art education specifically, generative technologies provide students with opportunities to visualize abstract concepts, investigate diverse artistic traditions, and engage in iterative creative experimentation with unprecedented speed and flexibility. Emerging studies indicate that AI can enrich students' visual literacy, improve creative confidence, and broaden access to artistic resources that transcend geographical, cultural, and institutional boundaries [7], [8], [9], [10]. These developments suggest that

digital technologies are not merely supplementary instructional media but increasingly constitute integral components of contemporary creative learning.

International educational organizations likewise recognize that digital transformation is reshaping the future of education. UNESCO's Framework for Culture and Arts Education [11] emphasizes that arts education should contribute not only to artistic competence but also to cultural diversity, intercultural dialogue, sustainable development, human well-being, and responsible citizenship. The framework explicitly argues that emerging technologies, including artificial intelligence, should strengthen rather than diminish the humanistic mission of education by promoting creativity alongside empathy, ethical responsibility, and social inclusion. Similarly, the OECD Digital Education Outlook [12] highlights that educational systems must move beyond technological adoption toward meaningful digital transformation that integrates innovation with critical thinking, ethical reasoning, democratic participation, and responsible human agency. According to the OECD, preparing learners for AI-enabled societies requires educational approaches that cultivate not only digital competencies but also moral judgment, algorithmic awareness, and the capacity to make ethically informed decisions within increasingly automated environments.

These international perspectives indicate that technological advancement alone cannot serve as the ultimate objective of educational transformation. Instead, AI should be understood as a catalyst for rethinking the broader purposes of education, particularly regarding the development of human capabilities that cannot be replicated by intelligent machines. Within this context, art education occupies a distinctive position because artistic learning simultaneously develops imagination, emotional intelligence, cultural understanding, ethical reflection, and creative expression. Unlike many other disciplines, art education engages learners in experiences that integrate cognitive, affective, social, and moral dimensions of human development, making it particularly relevant for responding to the complexities of digitally mediated societies.

Long before the emergence of artificial intelligence, leading scholars in art education argued that artistic learning extends far beyond the acquisition of technical skills [13], [14]. Eisner [15] maintained that art education cultivates unique forms of thinking through perception, imagination, interpretation, and aesthetic judgment, thereby enabling learners to understand the world in ways that conventional academic disciplines cannot. Similarly, Efland [16] emphasized that artistic learning is fundamentally a process of constructing meaning through cultural experience rather than merely producing visually attractive artifacts. From this perspective, the educational value of art lies not solely in developing artistic competence but also in fostering reflective thinking, interpretative understanding, and cultural awareness.

Subsequent developments in visual culture education further expanded these humanistic perspectives. Freedman [17] argued that contemporary art education should prepare learners to critically interpret increasingly complex visual environments shaped by media, technology, and globalization [18]. Likewise, Gude [19] proposed that meaningful art education should encourage students to investigate social issues, question dominant cultural narratives, and construct new forms of visual understanding that promote democratic participation and social engagement. Collectively, these perspectives reposition art education as a transformative educational practice concerned not only with artistic production but also with cultivating ethical imagination, cultural literacy, critical consciousness, and responsible citizenship.

Contemporary discussions on creativity similarly emphasize that creative competence should not be understood merely as the capacity to generate novel ideas but as the ability to produce meaningful, socially responsible, and culturally relevant contributions. Increasingly, scholars argue that creativity acquires educational significance only when embedded within broader humanistic purposes that support empathy, collaboration, sustainability, and collective well-being [20], [21], [22]. Nevertheless, educational policies and institutional practices frequently continue to privilege measurable outcomes such as innovation, productivity, entrepreneurial competence, and technological proficiency. Consequently, creativity is often evaluated according to its economic utility or market value rather than its capacity to contribute to ethical development and the public good.

This instrumental orientation has become even more pronounced in the era of generative artificial intelligence. As AI systems become increasingly capable of producing sophisticated visual content within seconds, technical proficiency alone no longer constitutes a sufficient educational objective.

Instead, the distinguishing characteristics of human creativity increasingly reside in capacities that remain deeply human, including ethical judgment, empathy, moral imagination, cultural sensitivity, and social responsibility [23]. These developments suggest that the central challenge facing contemporary art education is no longer how to cultivate creativity itself, but rather how to cultivate creativity that contributes meaningfully to human flourishing within technologically mediated societies.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Research Design

This study employed a conceptual paper design supported by a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) to develop a novel philosophical framework for reconstructing contemporary art education through the perspective of *Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah*. Unlike empirical research, which seeks to test hypotheses using quantitative or qualitative data, conceptual research aims to generate new theoretical insights by critically synthesizing existing knowledge, identifying conceptual gaps, and constructing integrative frameworks that advance scholarly understanding [24].

The choice of a conceptual paper design was motivated by the need to address an important theoretical gap in contemporary art education. Although previous studies have extensively examined creativity, visual literacy, digital pedagogy, artificial intelligence, and technological innovation, relatively limited attention has been devoted to reconstructing the philosophical purposes of art education through an ethical and humanistic perspective. Moreover, existing discussions rarely integrate contemporary educational theories with *Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah* as a comprehensive framework for ethical creativity, social responsibility, and human flourishing. Consequently, rather than producing new empirical evidence, this study focuses on developing a coherent conceptual model capable of connecting these previously fragmented bodies of knowledge.

The review process followed the principles of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA 2020) [25] to ensure transparency, rigor, and reproducibility in identifying, screening, selecting, and synthesizing relevant literature. Although PRISMA was originally developed for systematic reviews in health sciences, its structured review process has been increasingly adopted in educational and social science research to enhance methodological transparency and minimize selection bias.

The conceptual synthesis was guided by the framework proposed by Jaakkola [24], which emphasizes four interrelated stages of conceptual development: (1) problem identification, (2) critical analysis of existing theories, (3) conceptual integration, and (4) framework construction. These stages enabled the study to move beyond descriptive literature review toward the development of a theoretically grounded model, namely the Humanistic Maqasid Art Education (HMAE) Framework. Specifically, the research design consisted of four sequential phases:

1. Identification of the research problem, focusing on the philosophical challenges of contemporary art education in the era of artificial intelligence and digital visual culture.
2. Systematic identification and selection of scholarly literature related to art education, visual culture, humanistic education, creativity, artificial intelligence, ethics, and *Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah*.
3. Thematic synthesis and conceptual integration of multidisciplinary literature to identify recurring concepts, theoretical relationships, and unresolved scholarly debates.
4. Development of a conceptual framework that reconstructs the aims of contemporary art education through the principles of *rahmah* (compassion), *maṣlaḥah* (public benefit), ethical creativity, empathy, social responsibility, and human flourishing.

The resulting framework does not merely summarize previous scholarship but proposes a new theoretical perspective that integrates contemporary art education with the philosophical objectives of *Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah*. Accordingly, this study contributes to conceptual advancement by offering an alternative paradigm capable of responding to the ethical, cultural, and technological challenges emerging in digital visual culture and AI-mediated artistic learning.

2.2 Subjects or Objects and Instruments

The primary objects of this study consisted of scholarly publications addressing art education, digital visual culture, artificial intelligence (AI), humanistic education, creativity, ethics, and *Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah*. These publications were retrieved from internationally recognized academic databases,

including Scopus, Web of Science (WoS), ERIC, Dimensions, and Google Scholar. These databases were selected because of their comprehensive multidisciplinary coverage and their ability to provide high-quality, peer-reviewed literature relevant to education, art, philosophy, technology, and cultural studies.

The literature search was conducted using a systematic search strategy based on combinations of predefined keywords and Boolean operators (AND and OR). The principal search terms included "Art Education," "Visual Culture," "Digital Art," "Artificial Intelligence," "Generative AI," "Humanistic Education," "Creativity," "Ethics," "Maqāsid al-Sharī'ah," "Human Flourishing," "Art Curriculum," and "Creative Learning." Keyword combinations were adapted to the indexing systems and search functionalities of each database to maximize the retrieval of relevant publications.

To ensure the quality and relevance of the selected literature, explicit inclusion and exclusion criteria were established. The inclusion criteria required that publications: (1) be peer-reviewed journal articles; (2) explicitly address one or more of the following themes: art education, visual culture, artificial intelligence, creativity, humanistic education, ethics, or Maqāsid al-Sharī'ah; (3) be published primarily between 2020 and 2025 to capture recent scholarly developments, while allowing the inclusion of seminal theoretical works that have significantly shaped the fields of art education and Islamic philosophy, including contributions by Elliot Eisner [15], Arthur Efland [16], John Dewey [13], Paulo Freire [26], Al-Ghazali [27], Al-Shatibi [28], Ibn Ashur [29], and Jasser Auda [30]; and (4) be available in full-text format to enable comprehensive analysis.

Publications were excluded if they: (1) were conference proceedings, editorials, opinion papers, magazine articles, or other non-peer-reviewed publications; (2) did not directly relate to the objectives of this study; (3) lacked sufficient methodological or theoretical rigor; or (4) represented duplicate records retrieved from multiple databases.

Following the identification, screening, eligibility assessment, and inclusion procedures guided by the PRISMA 2020 framework, approximately 60 scholarly publications met all selection criteria and were included in the conceptual synthesis. These publications represented multidisciplinary perspectives encompassing art education, educational philosophy, visual culture, educational technology, ethics, creativity, artificial intelligence, and Maqāsid al-Sharī'ah. Such diversity of sources enabled a comprehensive theoretical integration and facilitated the development of a robust conceptual foundation for this study.

The primary research instruments consisted of a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) protocol based on the PRISMA 2020 guidelines and a structured data extraction form. The SLR protocol ensured a transparent, systematic, and reproducible literature selection process, while the data extraction form was designed to systematically record key information from each publication, including bibliographic information, research objectives, theoretical perspectives, methodological approaches, research contexts, principal findings, practical implications, and identified research gaps. The extracted data were subsequently categorized into major thematic areas through thematic analysis and conceptual synthesis, providing the analytical basis for developing the Humanistic Maqasid Art Education (HMAE) Framework proposed in this study.

By employing high-quality scholarly literature as the primary object of analysis and utilizing systematic review instruments grounded in internationally recognized methodological standards, this study ensures the transparency, credibility, and theoretical rigor necessary for developing a comprehensive conceptual framework for reconstructing contemporary art education.

2.3 Research Procedure

The research procedure followed a systematic and transparent review process based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA 2020) guidelines [25]. The procedure was designed to ensure methodological rigor, transparency, and reproducibility throughout the literature review and conceptual framework development. The overall research process consisted of five sequential stages: problem identification, literature identification, literature screening and selection, thematic analysis and conceptual synthesis, and conceptual framework development.

Stage 1: Problem Identification

The first stage involved identifying the central research problem concerning the philosophical orientation of contemporary art education in the era of artificial intelligence (AI) and digital visual culture. A preliminary review of recent literature indicated that current discussions predominantly emphasize creativity, technological innovation, visual literacy, and digital competencies. However,

limited attention has been given to the ethical purposes of creativity, human flourishing, and the integration of humanistic philosophical perspectives into contemporary art education. This theoretical gap provided the foundation for formulating the research objectives and conceptual direction of the study.

Stage 2: Literature Identification

The second stage involved conducting a comprehensive literature search across five major academic databases: Scopus, Web of Science (WoS), ERIC, Dimensions, and Google Scholar. The search strategy employed combinations of predefined keywords using Boolean operators (AND and OR), including Art Education, Visual Culture, Artificial Intelligence, Generative AI, Humanistic Education, Creativity, Ethics, Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah, Human Flourishing, and Art Curriculum. To ensure the relevance of the retrieved publications, the search primarily focused on articles published between 2020 and 2025, while also including seminal theoretical works that provide the philosophical foundation of art education and Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah.

Stage 3: Literature Screening and Selection

All retrieved publications underwent a rigorous screening process following the PRISMA 2020 framework. Duplicate records were first removed, followed by title and abstract screening to assess their relevance to the research objectives. Subsequently, full-text articles were evaluated according to the predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Only peer-reviewed journal articles that directly addressed the study's thematic focus were retained for further analysis. Through this systematic process, approximately 60 scholarly publications were identified as eligible for conceptual synthesis.

Stage 4: Thematic Analysis and Conceptual Synthesis

The selected literature was analyzed using thematic analysis, following the principles proposed by Braun and Clarke [31]. During this stage, key concepts, recurring themes, theoretical perspectives, and research findings were systematically extracted and coded. Similar concepts were grouped into broader thematic categories, allowing the identification of conceptual relationships across multidisciplinary literature.

To strengthen the theoretical integration, the thematic analysis was combined with conceptual synthesis [24]. Rather than merely summarizing previous studies, conceptual synthesis was employed to establish relationships among theories from art education, educational philosophy, digital visual culture, artificial intelligence, and Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah. This process facilitated the identification of unresolved theoretical issues while providing the conceptual basis for proposing a new educational paradigm.

Stage 5: Development of the Humanistic Maqasid Art Education (HMAE) Framework

The final stage involved developing the Humanistic Maqasid Art Education (HMAE) Framework. The framework was constructed by integrating the philosophical principles of Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah particularly *rahmah* (compassion), *maṣlaḥah* (public benefit), human dignity, justice, and human flourishing with contemporary theories of art education, creativity, and humanistic learning. The framework proposes a paradigm shift from a creativity-centered orientation toward an ethically grounded and human-centered approach to art education that emphasizes empathy, ethical creativity, social responsibility, and sustainable human development.

2.4 Data Analysis Techniques

The selected literature was analyzed using a combination of thematic analysis [31] and conceptual synthesis [24]. This approach enabled the systematic identification, interpretation, and integration of concepts related to art education, digital visual culture, artificial intelligence, humanistic education, creativity, and Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah.

The analysis began by thoroughly reviewing the selected publications to identify key concepts and recurring themes. Relevant data were then coded and organized into broader thematic categories, including digital visual culture, AI in art education, ethical creativity, humanistic education, social responsibility, and Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah. These themes were subsequently compared and synthesized to examine conceptual relationships and identify gaps in the existing literature.

Finally, the integrated themes served as the basis for developing the Humanistic Maqasid Art Education (HMAE) Framework, which reconstructs contemporary art education by integrating the philosophical objectives of Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah with contemporary theories of creativity and humanistic learning. This analytical approach ensured that the proposed framework was grounded in systematic evidence

and provided a coherent theoretical contribution to contemporary art education in the era of artificial intelligence.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Results

The systematic literature review identified approximately 60 scholarly publications that met the predefined inclusion criteria. The selected studies encompass diverse disciplines, including art education, educational philosophy, digital visual culture, artificial intelligence, creativity studies, ethics, and *Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah*. Through thematic analysis and conceptual synthesis, five major themes emerged, providing the theoretical foundation for reconstructing contemporary art education.

Table 1. Major Themes Identified from the Systematic Literature Review

Theme	Main Focus	Representative Concepts
Digital Visual Culture	Transformation of visual communication and artistic practice	Visual culture, social media, digital identity, algorithmic aesthetics
Artificial Intelligence in Art Education	AI-assisted creativity and learning	Generative AI, human–AI collaboration, AI literacy, creative learning
Humanistic Art Education	Educational purposes beyond technical competence	Human flourishing, empathy, critical thinking, democratic education
Ethical Creativity	Moral dimensions of creativity	Ethics, social responsibility, compassion, sustainability
<i>Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah</i>	Philosophical foundation for educational reconstruction	<i>Raḥmah</i> , <i>Maṣlahah</i> , justice, human dignity, public welfare

The first theme highlights the growing influence of digital visual culture on contemporary artistic learning. The reviewed studies consistently demonstrate that digital technologies have transformed how visual knowledge is created, shared, and interpreted. Artistic expression is increasingly mediated through social media platforms, algorithmic recommendation systems, and AI-assisted creative tools, expanding opportunities for artistic production while simultaneously introducing challenges related to commercialization, digital consumerism, and algorithmic dependency.

The second theme concerns the integration of artificial intelligence in art education. The literature indicates that generative AI has enhanced creative experimentation, personalized learning, and interdisciplinary collaboration. AI technologies enable students to rapidly explore diverse visual possibilities and support innovative artistic practices. However, the findings also emphasize that AI should function as a complementary creative partner rather than replacing human imagination, ethical judgment, and aesthetic reflection.

The third theme identifies the continuing importance of humanistic art education. Across the reviewed literature, scholars consistently argue that the fundamental purpose of art education extends beyond developing technical competence or creative skills. Instead, art education should cultivate empathy, cultural understanding, critical reflection, democratic participation, and human flourishing. These humanistic values remain essential for responding to increasingly complex social and technological environments.

The fourth theme reveals an emerging concern regarding ethical creativity. While creativity is widely recognized as a key educational objective, relatively few studies explicitly address its ethical dimensions. The literature suggests that creativity should not be understood solely as the production of novel ideas or innovative products but as a human capability directed toward social responsibility, public benefit, and sustainable development. This finding indicates a growing need to integrate ethical reasoning into contemporary art education.

The fifth theme identifies *Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah* as a promising philosophical foundation for educational reconstruction. Although extensively applied in Islamic economics, law, public policy, and educational philosophy, its application within art education remains limited. The reviewed literature demonstrates that the principles of *raḥmah* (compassion), *maṣlahah* (public benefit), justice, and human

dignity offer a comprehensive ethical framework capable of enriching contemporary discussions on creativity, AI, and human-centered education.

Taken together, these findings indicate that contemporary art education is undergoing a significant philosophical transition. The synthesis of the reviewed literature suggests that the prevailing creativity-centered paradigm is no longer sufficient to address the ethical, cultural, and technological challenges of the digital era. Instead, a more holistic educational paradigm is required one that integrates creativity with compassion, ethical responsibility, social engagement, and human flourishing. These findings provide the conceptual basis for the development of the Humanistic Maqasid Art Education (HMAE) Framework, which is discussed in the subsequent sections.

3.2 Discussion

Graphical Representation of Results

The thematic analysis revealed five interconnected themes that collectively illustrate the philosophical transformation of contemporary art education in the era of artificial intelligence and digital visual culture. Rather than functioning as independent concepts, these themes demonstrate a progressive relationship that leads to the reconstruction of art education through a humanistic and ethical perspective grounded in Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah.

The graphical representation (Figure 2) summarizes the conceptual relationships identified in the literature. It illustrates how the rapid development of digital visual culture and artificial intelligence has transformed artistic practices while simultaneously creating ethical and philosophical challenges. These challenges necessitate a shift from a creativity-centered paradigm toward a human-centered educational paradigm that integrates ethical creativity, empathy, social responsibility, and human flourishing. The synthesis of these themes ultimately forms the basis for the proposed Humanistic Maqasid Art Education (HMAE) Framework.



Figure 2. Conceptual Synthesis of the Research Findings

Figure 2 demonstrates that contemporary art education is influenced not only by technological innovation but also by broader social, cultural, and ethical transformations. While digital technologies have expanded opportunities for artistic creativity and collaborative learning, they have also intensified concerns regarding the commercialization of creativity, algorithmic dependency, and the erosion of the humanistic purposes of education. Consequently, creativity alone is no longer sufficient as the primary objective of art education.

The conceptual synthesis further indicates that *Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah* provides an integrative philosophical foundation for addressing these emerging challenges. By emphasizing *rahmah* (compassion), *maṣlaḥah* (public benefit), justice, and human dignity, the proposed framework repositions creativity as a transformative human capability directed toward individual and societal well-being rather than merely artistic production or technological innovation.

Based on this synthesis, the following discussion elaborates on the philosophical crisis of contemporary art education and explains how the Humanistic Maqasid Art Education (HMAE) Framework offers a new paradigm for reconstructing the aims, curriculum, and pedagogical orientation of art education in the digital era.

Interpretation and Theoretical Link

The findings of this study indicate that contemporary art education is undergoing a significant philosophical transition driven by the rapid advancement of artificial intelligence, digital visual culture, and emerging creative technologies. While these developments have expanded opportunities for artistic innovation and collaborative learning, they have also shifted educational priorities toward technological competence, productivity, and creative performance. Consequently, creativity has increasingly become an educational objective in itself, often detached from broader ethical, cultural, and social purposes.

This finding is consistent with the humanistic perspectives of Eisner [15] and Efland [16], who argued that the primary purpose of art education extends beyond the acquisition of technical skills or artistic products. Instead, art education should cultivate imagination, critical reflection, meaning-making, and aesthetic understanding that contribute to learners' holistic development. Similarly, Freedman [17] emphasized that visual culture education should prepare students to critically interpret complex media environments rather than merely produce visual artifacts. These perspectives collectively reinforce the idea that art education should remain a human-centered educational practice despite rapid technological change.

The synthesis also demonstrates that the integration of artificial intelligence into artistic learning presents a paradox. On the one hand, AI enhances creative exploration, visual experimentation, and access to artistic resources. On the other hand, excessive dependence on algorithmic systems may encourage standardized creativity, digital consumerism, and reduced opportunities for reflective artistic inquiry. Therefore, the challenge is not whether AI should be integrated into art education, but how AI can be used to strengthen rather than diminish human creativity, ethical reasoning, and cultural responsibility.

From a philosophical perspective, the identified themes reveal an important conceptual gap in contemporary art education. Existing frameworks predominantly emphasize creativity, innovation, and digital competence, whereas relatively little attention is devoted to ethical creativity, empathy, public benefit, and human flourishing. This imbalance suggests that current educational paradigms require a broader philosophical foundation capable of integrating technological advancement with moral and social responsibility.

In response to this challenge, *Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah* provides a relevant and comprehensive theoretical perspective. Contemporary interpretations of *Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah*, particularly those advanced by Ibn Ashur [29] and Jasser Auda [30], position its principles not merely as legal objectives but as a multidimensional framework for promoting justice, compassion

(rahmah), human dignity, intellectual development, and public welfare (maṣlaḥah). These values closely align with contemporary discussions on human-centered education, ethical artificial intelligence, and sustainable development.

The integration of Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah with contemporary theories of art education therefore offers a new conceptual direction. Rather than replacing existing theories of creativity, the proposed perspective redefines creativity as a means of achieving ethical transformation and collective well-being. Within this framework, artistic learning is understood not only as a process of developing creative competence but also as a process of cultivating empathy, moral imagination, cultural awareness, and social responsibility.

Accordingly, the findings support a paradigm shift from creativity-centered art education toward human flourishing-centered art education. In this paradigm, creativity remains an essential educational outcome; however, its value is determined by its contribution to humanity, justice, compassion, and the common good. This theoretical integration forms the conceptual foundation of the Humanistic Maqasid Art Education (HMAE) Framework, which is proposed as a new philosophical model for reconstructing contemporary art education in the age of artificial intelligence.

3.3 Research Implications

The findings of this conceptual study have several important implications for the advancement of art education theory, educational practice, curriculum development, and future research. By integrating the philosophical principles of Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah with contemporary theories of art education, this study proposes a new humanistic paradigm that responds to the ethical and technological challenges emerging in the era of artificial intelligence and digital visual culture.

From a theoretical perspective, this study contributes to the literature by extending existing discussions on creativity in art education beyond technical competence and innovation. The proposed Humanistic Maqasid Art Education (HMAE) Framework positions creativity as a means of promoting empathy, ethical responsibility, social justice, and human flourishing. This perspective enriches contemporary theories of art education by introducing Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah as a philosophical framework that complements humanistic educational thought and broadens the conceptual foundations of creative learning.

From a pedagogical perspective, the proposed framework encourages educators to redesign learning experiences that integrate artistic creativity with ethical reflection and social engagement. Rather than emphasizing artistic products alone, art learning should foster students' ability to critically interpret visual culture, collaborate responsibly with AI technologies, and create artworks that contribute to individual and societal well-being. Consequently, teachers are expected to facilitate learning environments that nurture not only creative competence but also compassion, empathy, and responsible citizenship.

From a curriculum perspective, the findings suggest that contemporary art education curricula should move beyond skill-based and product-oriented learning outcomes toward value-oriented educational objectives. Curriculum reconstruction should incorporate ethical creativity, cultural sustainability, digital responsibility, and human flourishing as essential learning outcomes. Such an approach is particularly relevant for preparing students to navigate increasingly complex visual and technological environments while maintaining strong moral and cultural awareness.

This study also has implications for the responsible integration of artificial intelligence in education. Rather than positioning AI as a substitute for human creativity, educational institutions should employ AI as a collaborative learning partner that supports exploration,

reflection, and innovation while preserving human agency, ethical judgment, and cultural sensitivity. Integrating AI within a humanistic educational framework can help learners develop balanced competencies that combine technological literacy with ethical responsibility. Finally, the proposed framework provides directions for future research. As a conceptual model, the Humanistic Maqasid Art Education (HMAE) Framework requires empirical validation across diverse educational contexts. Future studies may examine its implementation in schools, higher education institutions, and teacher education programs using qualitative, quantitative, or mixed-methods approaches. Comparative studies across cultural and educational settings may also provide further evidence regarding the applicability, effectiveness, and adaptability of the framework in promoting ethical creativity and human flourishing in contemporary art education.

4. CONCLUSION

This study set out to reconstruct the philosophical foundations of contemporary art education by integrating the principles of Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah with contemporary theories of art education in response to the rapid advancement of artificial intelligence and digital visual culture. Through a systematic literature review and conceptual synthesis, the study identified a growing imbalance in current educational discourse, where creativity, technological innovation, and digital competence are frequently emphasized, while the ethical purposes of art education receive comparatively limited attention. The findings suggest that creativity alone is no longer sufficient as the primary objective of art education in increasingly AI-mediated learning environments.

Based on the thematic synthesis, this study proposes the Humanistic Maqasid Art Education (HMAE) Framework as a new conceptual paradigm for contemporary art education. The framework repositions creativity as a human capability that should be directed toward *rahmah* (compassion), *maṣlahah* (public benefit), empathy, ethical responsibility, social engagement, and human flourishing. Rather than viewing artistic learning primarily as the production of creative works or the development of technical skills, the HMAE Framework conceptualizes art education as a transformative process that integrates artistic expression with moral development, cultural understanding, and societal well-being.

The principal theoretical contribution of this study lies in establishing a dialogue between contemporary art education and Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah. While previous research has predominantly explored creativity from cognitive, aesthetic, or technological perspectives, this study introduces an ethical-humanistic perspective that broadens the philosophical foundations of art education. By positioning Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah as a framework for educational reconstruction rather than solely a legal or religious doctrine, this paper contributes a multidisciplinary perspective that connects Islamic philosophy with global discourses on human-centered education, ethical artificial intelligence, and sustainable development.

From a practical perspective, the proposed framework offers guidance for curriculum development, pedagogical innovation, teacher education, and the responsible integration of artificial intelligence in art education. Educational institutions are encouraged to design learning experiences that balance technological advancement with ethical reflection, ensuring that AI functions as a collaborative tool that enhances rather than replaces human creativity, critical thinking, and cultural sensitivity.

Despite these contributions, this study has several limitations. As a conceptual paper, the proposed framework has not yet been empirically implemented or evaluated in educational settings. Consequently, its effectiveness in improving students' creativity, ethical awareness, empathy, and social responsibility remains to be validated through empirical investigation. Furthermore, the conceptual synthesis was developed from selected scholarly literature and therefore reflects the scope and perspectives represented within those sources.

Future research should empirically examine the applicability and effectiveness of the Humanistic Maqasid Art Education (HMAE) Framework across different educational levels and cultural contexts. Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed-methods studies are needed to evaluate its influence on curriculum implementation, teaching practices, student learning outcomes, and the ethical integration of artificial intelligence in art education. Comparative studies involving different educational systems may also provide deeper insights into the adaptability and global relevance of the framework.

In conclusion, the rapid transformation of digital visual culture and artificial intelligence calls for a fundamental reorientation of the purposes of art education. The future of art education should extend beyond developing creativity as an end in itself toward cultivating ethical creativity that contributes to compassion, justice, public benefit, and human flourishing. The Humanistic Maqasid Art Education (HMAE) Framework provides a conceptual foundation for this transformation by integrating humanistic educational values with the philosophical objectives of Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah, thereby offering a meaningful direction for reimagining art education in the twenty-first century.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. K. Dwivedi et al., "So what if ChatGPT wrote it? Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI," *International Journal of Information Management*, vol. 71, 2023.
- [2] J. Burgess and J. Green, *YouTube: Online Video and Participatory Culture*, 2nd ed. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press, 2018.
- [3] N. Couldry and U. A. Mejias, "Data colonialism: Rethinking big data's relation to the contemporary subject," *Television & New Media*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 336–349, 2019.
- [4] B.-C. Han, "The Crisis of Narration," *Theory, Culture & Society*, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 3–17, 2022.
- [5] T. McCosker and R. Wilken, "Generative AI and creative practices: Emerging perspectives on human-machine collaboration," *Convergence*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 1501–1518, 2023.
- [6] K. Peppler, "Arts, creativity, and AI: Emerging directions for learning," *Educational Technology Research and Development*, vol. 72, no. 1, pp. 95–112, 2024.
- [7] W. Holmes and I. Tuomi, "State of the art and practice in AI in education," *European Journal of Education*, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 542–570, 2022.
- [8] R. Luckin and M. Cukurova, "Artificial intelligence and human learning: Rethinking education in the age of generative AI," *Learning, Media and Technology*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 2024.
- [9] J. Williamson and R. Eynon, "Historical threads, missing links, and future directions in AI in education," *Learning, Media and Technology*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 223–235, 2020.
- [10] N. Selwyn, "Education and artificial intelligence: Global perspectives," *Learning, Media and Technology*, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 285–299, 2023.
- [11] UNESCO, *Framework for Culture and Arts Education*. Paris, France: UNESCO Publishing, 2024.
- [12] OECD, *OECD Digital Education Outlook 2023: Towards an Effective Digital Education Ecosystem*. Paris, France: OECD Publishing, 2023.
- [13] J. Dewey, *Art as Experience*. New York, NY, USA: Perigee Books, 2005.
- [14] M. Greene, *Releasing the Imagination: Essays on Education, the Arts, and Social Change*. San Francisco, CA, USA: Jossey-Bass, 1995.
- [15] E. W. Eisner, *The Arts and the Creation of Mind*. New Haven, CT, USA: Yale University Press, 2002.
- [16] A. D. Efland, *Art and Cognition: Integrating the Visual Arts in the Curriculum*. New York, NY, USA: Teachers College Press, 2002.
- [17] K. Freedman, *Teaching Visual Culture: Curriculum, Aesthetics, and the Social Life of Art*. New York, NY, USA: Teachers College Press, 2003.

- [18] P. Duncum, "Visual culture art education: Why, what and how," *International Journal of Education through Art*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 9–20, 2015.
- [19] O. Gude, "New School Art Styles: The Project of Art Education," *Art Education*, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 6–15, 2013.
- [20] M. C. Nussbaum, *Not for Profit: Why Democracy Needs the Humanities*. Princeton, NJ, USA: Princeton University Press, 2010.
- [21] N. Noddings, *Caring: A Relational Approach to Ethics and Moral Education*, 2nd ed. Berkeley, CA, USA: University of California Press, 2013.
- [22] T. Lickona, *Educating for Character: How Our Schools Can Teach Respect and Responsibility*. New York, NY, USA: Bantam Books, 2012.
- [23] A. M. Madni and M. M. Madni, "Ethics and artificial intelligence in education," *AI and Ethics*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 421–433, 2023.
- [24] M. Jaakkola, "Designing conceptual articles: Four approaches," *AMS Review*, vol. 10, no. 1–2, pp. 18–26, 2020.
- [25] M. J. Page et al., "The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews," *BMJ*, vol. 372, p. n71, 2021.
- [26] P. Freire, *Pedagogy of Freedom: Ethics, Democracy, and Civic Courage*. Lanham, MD, USA: Rowman & Littlefield, 1998.
- [27] A. H. Al-Ghazali, *Al-Mustasfa min 'Ilm al-Usul*. Beirut, Lebanon: Dar al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyyah, 1993.
- [28] A. Al-Shatibi, *Al-Muwafaqat fi Usul al-Shariah*. Beirut, Lebanon: Dar al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyyah, 2004.
- [29] M. T. Ibn Ashur, *Treatise on Maqasid al-Shariah*. London, UK: The International Institute of Islamic Thought, 2006.
- [30] J. Auda, *Maqasid Al-Shariah as Philosophy of Islamic Law: A Systems Approach*. London, UK: The International Institute of Islamic Thought, 2008.
- [31] V. Braun and V. Clarke, "To saturate or not to saturate? Questioning data saturation as a useful concept for thematic analysis," *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 201–216, 2021.

AUTHORS



Dr. Dwi Susanto, S.Pd., M.Pd. is a lecturer at the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Sarjanawiyata Tamansiswa, Yogyakarta, Indonesia. He received his bachelor's degree in Art Education and his master's degree in Educational Technology from Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta (UNY), where he also earned his doctoral degree. His research interests include art education, educational technology, curriculum development, character education, instructional design, and innovative learning models. Dr. Susanto has published several books and scholarly articles on education, including works on 21st-century education, instructional models, visual communication design, and the SIMONGA Learning Model. He also serves as a journal reviewer and actively contributes to academic publishing and community-based educational initiatives. Email: dwi.susanto@ustjogja.ac.id

Dr. Ridwan Santoso, S.Pd., M.Pd.

A Lecturer at the Pancasila and Civic Education Study Program, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Jambi, Jambi, Indonesia

Email: ridwansantoso@unja.ac.id

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-4624-4276

Muhammad Ihsaan Fathoni, M.Pd

A Lecturer at the Educational Technology Study Program, Faculty of Education, Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Email: ihsaanfathoni@uny.ac.id

Dr. Ir. Hasbi Nur Prasetyo Wisudawan, S.T., M.T.

A Lecturer at the Electrical Engineering Study Program, Faculty of Industrial Technology, Universitas Islam Indonesia, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Email: hasbi.wisudawan@uii.ac.id

ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2091-9965>